Joint Strategic Group:

Report on Improvement Collaboratives

*With Local Government Cover Note*

November 2017
Background

1. Education is a key policy priority area of the Scottish Government. The Education Delivery Plan\(^1\) set out the high-level direction in June 2016, followed by formal consultation on Education Governance\(^2\) which closed in January 2017. There were multiple elements within this - one of key concern for local government was the proposition for regional working.

2. The COSLA response to the consultation, drawn from consultation with Members, was clear in the need for any joint working between local authorities to be organic and flexible. In discussions at both an officer and a political level, we were strong in forwarding the view that imposed regions would not achieve desired outcomes. We stressed the need for collaborative and not compulsory relationships.

3. Through political meetings, it became apparent that joint working between local authorities was a priority for the Deputy First Minister but there was scope to influence the design of that collaboration. The proposal to work constructively with the Scottish Government to develop a model which would work operationally was endorsed by COSLA Leaders in May 2017. Subsequently a Strategic Working Group of Officers was established with representation from COSLA, SOLACE, ADES and the Scottish Government.

4. This Group met several times over August and early September 2017. The annexed report – the output of that process - was issued jointly to John Swinney MSP and Councillor Stephen McCabe, before being endorsed by COSLA Leaders in September 2017.

Key Points

- The guiding principles overarching all Improvement Collaboratives (IC) have Getting It Right For Every Child at their core, and prioritise a robust, locally driven, evidence-based approach. This will allow each IC to focus on improvement which addresses locally identified need.

- While core functions for all ICs will focus on improvement activity, there is flexibility for each IC to develop and design functions which best address local need.

- Improvement Collaboratives will comprise collaborating authorities and Education Scotland working in partnership.

- Leadership and accountability will be designed to best suit local needs – with a Regional Lead drawn from the Director/Head of Service pool within Children’s Services of collaborating authorities. A model of reporting which includes the Chief Executives and Elected Members from the collaborating authorities and Education Scotland will be designed in a way which makes most sense within that collaboration.

- Regional Improvement Leads should be in place by the end of October 2017.

- Regional Improvement Plans should be complete by January 2018.
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1. Background

Following the publication of the ‘Education Governance: Next Steps’ paper in June 2017, it was agreed that a joint Steering Group be established between the Scottish Government and Local Government. The remit of this group is to work together to develop proposals, based on the policy direction outlined in the ‘Next Steps’ document.

The Steering Group is led by co-chairs, Fiona Robertson, (Director of Learning, Scottish Government), and Angela Leitch (SOLACE Education and Young People’s Strategic Lead). Membership includes representation from the following:

- Scottish Government, Learning Directorate
- Scottish Government, Children & Families Directorate
- Education Scotland
- COSLA
- SOLACE
- ADES

2. Purpose

This report summarises the first task of the Steering Group, which is to develop options for the role and responsibilities of the Regional Improvement Collaboratives. The purpose of this task is to ensure that together we can establish a clear basis for the Regional Improvement Collaboratives (ICs) so they can be developed at pace, with a clear focus on supporting schools and authorities to raise attainment and close the attainment gap. This work is driven by ‘Getting It Right for Every Child’ (GIRFEC), and will also make a central contribution to ongoing joint work on Public Service Reform, and in particular, the collaborative work on children and young people that is already underway.

3. Scope

The remit for this work on ICs was jointly agreed and defines a set of specific areas for the Steering Group to consider. These were set out as follows (direct extract):

- **Guiding principles**: Clear guiding principles will be developed and agreed to frame the work of the collaboratives and help to ‘operationalise’ the policy intentions in the ‘Next Steps’ document.

- **Functions**: Building on the purpose of the collaboratives as set out in the ‘Next Steps’ paper (Section 3.1 and 4.1 in Annex A), consider the essential functions that each collaborative must discharge, and the extent to which other functions should be for each collaborative to determine. This will include what needs to be consistent across the collaboratives and where there is scope for regional flexibility in terms of approaches to improvement.
Leadership: Proposals for putting in place interim leadership arrangements that will enable the collaboratives to develop their work, and consider arrangements for filling leadership roles in the longer-term. This includes views of the group on the skills and qualities that those leading the collaboratives will need to demonstrate and build on existing collaboratives.

Staffing: Initial guidance on the optimal staffing mix of the collaborative, drawing on existing local authority officers, Education Scotland and staff from other agencies.

Geography: Agree the process, building on existing work, to define the regional improvement collaborative geographies, ensuring reach and deliverability in every part of Scotland and to every school.

Accountability: Options to consider local democratic accountability alongside national accountability.

Measures of success: Initial thinking on criteria to describe what success would look like at the various stages of the development and implementation of the collaboratives; including the initial launch, the formation and content of a collaborative action plan, at the end of year one, and so on.

4. Process

The Steering Group first met on 3rd August 2017 and agreed how to tackle each of the areas identified in the remit, drawing on the expertise and experience of all members. A number of discussion papers were commissioned from group members for wider discussion by the Group as a whole. The Steering Group also drew on the work of existing collaboratives operating on a regional basis, in order to learn lessons from their experience and build on their approach. The Group recognised the need for pace, commitment and energy to be focused on collaboration, in order to improve outcomes for children and young people.

This report will now outline the Group’s interim conclusions for each of the areas outlined above, for consideration by SOLACE Officer Bearers (by Education and Young People’s Strategic Lead), Sally Loudon (Chief Executive, COSLA) and Paul Johnston (DG Education, Justice and Communities, SG).

The report will then be subject to discussion and agreement between Ministers and COSLA. An interim discussion took place between DFM and Cllr. McCabe on 15th September, which is reflected in the accountability section. A further discussion took place on 21st September to agree this version of the report.
5. (a) Interim Conclusions: Key Messages

It should be noted from the outset that all members of the Steering Group welcomed the opportunity to work together to shape these proposals, which will essentially deliver on the policy direction set by ‘Next Steps’.

**Early discussions revealed a strong consensus around a number of key messages,** namely:

- A shared vision to improve the education and life chances of our children and young people, through delivering excellence and equity, with a collective commitment to close the gap in attainment between our least and most disadvantaged children and to raise attainment for all.

- Clear recognition of the improvement work that is already underway across Scotland, whilst acknowledging the need to address variability in performance and quality improvement support for schools. There is a need to secure greater consistency in pace and impact, reflecting the ambition of ‘Next Steps’.

- Agreement on the importance of increased collaboration and the principle of establishing ICs, as part of wider improvement work.

- Recognition that strong and collaborative leadership, at all levels, will be key to delivering on this ambition. This is not about creating a new formal body, but about developing different ways of working, bringing together capacity from across an area, and beyond, in order to add value through collective efforts.

- A commitment that the development and implementation of the ICs should be driven by the analysis of data and performance, and an absolute focus on addressing gaps, variability in outcomes and areas for improvement.

- A strong commitment to the principles of GIRFEC and a clear desire to ensure that together we create an empowered ‘system’ to deliver excellence and equity for all our children.
5. (b) Interim Conclusions: Guiding Principles

A set of ‘guiding principles’ will frame the work of the ICs to help ‘operationalise’ the policy intentions as set out in the ‘Next Steps’ document. The Steering Group has therefore defined a set of guiding principles which it is proposed should be core to all ICs. This does not preclude individual ICs from expanding upon this core list should they wish to reflect additional aspects of existing regional activity, or to respond collectively to particular local needs.

The guiding principles proposed by the Steering Group for all ICs, are as follows:

- **Outcome-focused** – the primary purpose for ICs is to support schools in the delivery of educational improvement, developing and maintaining a coherent focus on raising attainment and closing the attainment gap, whilst ensuring that activity is aligned to the National Improvement Framework (NIF).

- **Child-centred** - improvement must reflect the principles of GIRFEC and take a holistic approach to the needs of the child. ICs must consider the ‘whole system’ and ensure that all partners are working across authority boundaries and that they are engaging with those working closest to children, and with children themselves, at the point of need, as and when required.

- **A thematic approach** – in order to ensure function comes before structure, ICs must establish clarity of purpose and common goals, drawing on the NIF and contributions of all partners. The focus should be on building collective expertise, knowledge and capacity to drive continuous improvement.

- **Robust, locally-driven and evidence-based** – reform initiatives must be driven by the needs and priorities identified in each region, whilst reflecting national priorities and evidence. Where appropriate, ICs will also draw on the activity and experience of existing regional groupings and their work to date. Evaluation must be built in from the outset.

- **Agile and flexible** – each IC must build the capacity of the ‘system’ in order to respond to specific needs, and to react to change and unexpected events. They should actively encourage innovation and seek opportunities for partnership working. Sharing best practice within and beyond their IC will be essential.

- **Empowering** – it will be important for ICs to create an environment based on trust and open dialogue, working with partners to ensure that progress can be made at pace, and that any ambiguities or tensions can be surfaced and resolved through collective effort. A ‘culture of collaboration’ will need to develop at all levels across the ‘education community’, whilst ensuring collective support to the role and responsibilities of headteachers in securing change in the classroom and beyond.
Furthermore, the Steering Group discussed whether ICs may want to supplement these guiding principles with more detailed ‘design principles’ to further assist them in their work, particularly in areas where collaborative working is at an earlier stage. These could be expressed as key questions to be asked when considering specific priorities and improvement initiatives. An example of some initial work that was developed by an existing Collaborative is given in Appendix A for consideration.
5. (c) Interim Conclusions: Functions

The Group was asked to consider the functions for ICs, based on the overarching purpose set out in ‘Next Steps’ which states that ICs will:

- Provide excellent educational improvement support for headteachers, teachers, managers and practitioners through dedicated teams of professionals. These teams will draw on Education Scotland staff, local authority staff and others.

- Provide coherent focus across all partners through delivery of an annual regional plan and associated work programme aligned with the National Improvement Framework.

- Facilitate collaborative working across the region, including sharing best practice, supporting collaborative networks and pursuing partnership approaches.

The Steering Group wish to endorse and emphasise the importance of the following parameters regarding the functions of ICs:

- The functions of the ICs must support and directly contribute to nationally and locally identified priorities, and the overall policy objective of empowering our teachers, parents, partners and communities to deliver excellence and equity for all our children.

- There should be clarity at the outset regarding the essential core functions for all ICs, and those functions which individual ICs should determine, where a more tailored and flexible approach is required to suit local areas and clusters of schools. As referred to in ‘Next Steps’, there is no ‘one size fits all’ solution. The Steering Group has set out a proposal for that distinction in the next section on ‘functions’.

- All ICs should be evidence-based and build on existing practice across Scottish education, at both local and national level. Activity should not be restricted to schools alone, but encompass the range of learning environments that are experienced by children and young people, including all early learning and childcare providers delivering the statutory entitlement.

- ICs should also consider educational improvement within the context of local Children’s Services and the range of work led by multi-agency partners to improve outcomes for children, including Community Planning Partnerships, Social Work, Community Learning and Development and the Third Sector. Links with business and skills-related organisations will also be important in terms of supporting the development of the young workforce.

- The Group was also clear that this was about all partners working differently, as well as about securing ‘additionality’ through collaboration, thereby protecting against displacement or replication of activity.

- The Group emphasised the key role that Education Scotland will play in supporting the delivery of all the functions, as a core part of the work of ICs, including bespoke support
to schools. This will require Education Scotland to take on a significantly enhanced role and purpose going forward, with a strengthened improvement function, as referred to in ‘Next Steps’.

On this basis, the Steering Group proposes that the following functions are undertaken by all ICs:

- Identify priorities for improvement within the region, based on an analysis of all available evidence on educational performance within the region, including school attainment data, and consideration of the priorities set out in the National Improvement Framework (NIF).

- Formulate a regional improvement plan, based on the process of analysis and prioritisation mentioned above, and drawing on school improvement plans, local authority improvement plans and the national improvement plan. The plan should be agreed with the Chief Inspector of Education, to both ensure that it takes account of all available evidence on performance, and to support and challenge the selection of the educational strategies and interventions. ‘Next Steps’ refers to this plan being an annual requirement for all ICs. The Steering Group discussed whether it would be preferable to require three year regional plans, with a clear commitment for an annual review of performance. This would empower colleagues to tackle more systemic change and to develop more substantive and sustainable programmes of work, whilst retaining a clear commitment to pace and delivery. It would also match the three year budget planning timeframe within local authorities which could help unlock new ways of allocating resource to improvement activity. It is proposed that this is considered alongside the current legislative requirements for annual reporting on the National Improvement Framework.

- Enhance and improve professional learning for teachers, other professionals and key partners within the region, within a national framework, to ensure delivery on identified local priorities.

- Ensure that an appropriate range of support, interventions and programmes are in place to raise attainment and close the poverty-related attainment gap within the region. This should include proportionate and tailored support to schools and early learning and childcare providers.

- Identify, promote and share good practice in learning, teaching and assessment within the region, and with other regions. This should operate at all levels, and include developing networks of teachers and other professionals to share good practice, through peer-to-peer and school-to-school collaboration. These networks should include subject or sector specialisms across the region and build on areas identified for improvement, ensuring an appropriate level of challenge is injected into these groupings.

- Support schools to interpret and implement key educational developments and insights gained from research.
• Ensure and enhance **subject-specific support and advice** across all eight curriculum areas, with a clear focus on literacy and numeracy and the areas of improvement identified for that region, and their contribution to attainment.

• Facilitate access to **sector-specific support and advice** which may include areas such as early learning, Gaelic Medium Education, and additional support for learning.

• Build capacity in **improvement methodology**, through review, collaboration and shared approaches. This will include working with local authorities to ensure appropriate support is in place for schools to ‘manage change’ successfully through training, support, peer review and challenge.

• Take a **regional approach to supporting staffing challenges**, including recruitment and retention; promoting shared approaches to building capacity and securing improvement.

• Work with local authorities and other partners to **support wider collaborative working** across the ‘system’, including education, social work, health, Community Planning Partnerships and others to ensure that together, ‘we get it right for every child’.

The Steering Group proposes that the following functions should be tailored to local needs by individual ICs:

• The ‘**improvement offer**’ should be proportionate depending on school performance and capacity for self-improvement. Within a region, some schools may need a ‘light touch’ approach and others may need more significant support or intervention to secure improvement. It will therefore be the responsibility of the IC, in collaboration with the constituent local authorities, schools and other local partners, to determine the nature of activity and how that is delivered in practice.

• Following on from this, it should be the responsibility of each individual IC to decide on their ‘**model of staff deployment**’, to ensure they are able to deliver on the core functions and agreed priorities.

• Each IC will have a different starting point as they consider how best to deliver on the core activity and priorities. It is anticipated that all the ICs will develop over time and that in order to secure real improvement, each IC will need to **test new and different ways of working** to assess the impact on improvement in their area. What works in one area may not work in another. Whilst all ICs should encourage innovation, there will need to be flexibility and variation in the approaches taken.

Once regional plans are in place, it will be important for each IC to **simplify and clarify the landscape to avoid any confusion in schools and learning centres**, in terms of where to go for different types of improvement and support. This will be particularly important for headteachers given their changing role and responsibilities, as well as by other
professionals, who will need clarity as to what is being provided by the IC, what is being provided by their individual local authority, and essentially where to go for specialist advice.
5. (d) Interim Conclusions: Leadership

The Steering Group would like to highlight the critical role of effective leadership in the development of the ICs, for example:

- It is clear that the ICs will rely on excellent educational leadership and the top priority for educational leaders will be to achieve excellence and equity for every child within the region.

- There is already a wide range of improvement activity underway across the education sector in Scotland. ‘Next Steps’ builds on this and sets out a clear ambition for increased pace, reach into every school and greater consistency of impact. Strong and collaborative leadership, at all levels, and across the system, will be key to delivering on this ambition.

- The leadership must ensure that improvement activity is focused on the most effective interventions and that it progresses with pace and impact.

- Educational leaders working within the ICs must have the highest levels of credibility, visibility and educational strength and expertise in order to motivate and inspire change and improvement.

- They will also need to ensure that improvements are embedded and sustained.

There are a number of options available for developing leadership capacity across the ICs. As stated in ‘Next Steps’, leadership development at all levels will be built around new career pathways for teachers and the ‘Framework for Educational Leadership’. Programmes currently led by SCEL will be expanded and offered as progressive leadership pathways for teachers within regions. This will be linked to succession planning and the new campaign to attract more teachers into promoted posts including headship.

The Steering Group has considered the policy direction set by ‘Next Steps’ and a number of themes have emerged from those discussions:

To recap, ‘Next Steps’ indicates that strategic leadership and direction will be provided by a ‘Regional Director’ and a wider regional leadership team. It indicates that the Regional Director post will be appointed by the Scottish Government and will report to the Chief Inspector of Education for Scotland.

- Whilst there is a strong recognition of the importance and critical contribution of leadership to the work of ICs, since the publication of ‘Next Steps’ there have been a range of views regarding the model of leadership proposed. This relates to the identification, deployment and accountability of the Regional Directors, as well as to any changes in the statutory responsibilities of Local Government with respect to education.
Having considered how this might operate, local government colleagues have reflected on current experience and developed a proposal for that top tier of leadership which is intended to address some of those concerns and to secure buy-in, pace, ownership and support from local authorities, ADES and other partners. In this model, the Director role is positioned as a ‘Regional Co-ordinator’ and is appointed following a nomination by local authority Chief Executives and employed by a Local Authority. The Director would be accountable as senior officer, to the Chief Executives of the constituent local authorities, as well as providing reports and information to the Chief Inspector of Education. A wider system of local and national reporting could then be developed which allows for local and national democratic accountability for children and families. This type of model is currently being developed in the Northern Alliance and West Partnership.

On considering the proposed Local Government model of leadership, Education Scotland indicated that the Chief Inspector of Education would want to be involved in the appointment, working with colleagues to ensure that candidates have the right skills, experience and track record, to make the best possible impact on leading improvement within the region.

Following discussions between the DFM and Cllr. McCabe on 15th and 21st September, each IC will be led by a ‘Regional Improvement Lead’ (rather than the title of ‘Regional Director’). The Regional Improvement Lead will be selected jointly by the local authorities that make up the IC and the Chief Inspector of Education. The appointment would be made with the agreement of the Chief Executives in all the authorities and with the Scottish Government, (who would be advised by the Chief Inspector). The Regional Improvement Lead would be formally line managed by the Chief Executive of the employing authority, whilst reporting to all of the collaborating authorities and to the Chief Inspector.
5. (e) Interim Conclusions: Staffing

The Steering Group agreed the following:

- Staffing decisions must directly reflect consideration of all the functions required for the IC and the agreed priorities for improvement.

- It will be important for each IC to ensure they secure the best possible mix of staffing to enable them to deliver with ambition and pace. Getting the right mix of expertise will be essential.

- The Group acknowledged the current variability in support for improvement across the country and that for some there will be a need for a significant shift and rapid scaling up of activity, based on the local needs identified.

The Steering Group has developed some initial guidance for ICs to consider when developing their education leadership teams. For example:

- Firstly, it is worth re-emphasising that within ‘Next Steps’, there is not a proposal to establish a new body or employing authority, a position supported by the Steering Group. Collaborating on a regional basis towards collective aims will be a way of working which will be embedded throughout the system – both within and between organisations who are working to get it right for every child. This should be reflected in how the ICs approach their staffing decisions.

- Each IC will develop a ‘workforce plan’, taking a holistic view of the functions they need to deliver on, (reflecting national, regional and local priorities), the skills mix to deliver those functions, and identifying where there is existing capacity, (including the role of digital solutions), and where there are gaps.

- As mentioned earlier, the Steering Group proposes that it should be the responsibility of each individual IC to decide on their ‘model of staff deployment’, to ensure they can deliver on the core functions and agreed priorities. The priority for each IC is to ensure it has an agile and flexible staffing model which is responsive to the needs and priorities within the region, whilst also reflecting the ongoing requirements of the constituent local authorities. ICs will also need to think carefully about how they balance that need for flexibility with the need to ensure that the core offering is sufficiently resourced to deliver progress at pace, and to ensure that improvement support is available where and when it is needed.

- In determining the exact make-up of each IC, each area should consider the evidence and the agreed priorities, but also ensure that they draw on existing activity, connections and partnerships. Furthermore, given the strong focus on the use of performance data and evidence from a range of sources, it will be important for each IC to consider how best to ensure they have the required resource for data analysis on a regional basis.
• It will also be important to **consider the respective areas of strengths and expertise within a region, alongside areas for accelerated development**; this will help ensure a region is getting the most from existing skills and expertise, that it is identifying gaps, and that it can target where to share and redeploy resources and where it needs to bring in additional expertise.

• All ICs will need to have a **core team** which will include senior officers, e.g. the Chief Education Officers from each local authority within the IC, and senior officer(s) from Education Scotland and from the Care Inspectorate in relation to early learning and childcare. They should also ensure that their Attainment Advisers form a key part of the ICs, charged with bringing an equity focus to the work of the IC, whilst still working intensively on the Scottish Attainment Challenge. It will also be important to link with the Improvement Advisers for the Children & Young People Improvement Collaborative.

• **This core offer would obviously be built upon** depending on the scale of the IC and the needs/ priorities within the region. Other areas that ICs may want to consider include Continuing Professional Development, social care, health and well-being, parental engagement, and additional support for learning.

• A **wider leadership forum** could also include cluster leaders, (for example, a headteacher from each of the clusters/ area school groups within the region), and leaders from partner agencies and youth employment co-ordinators.

• It is anticipated that **additional resources** will be needed to boost staffing capacity within the ICs. This may include specialists in all eight curriculum areas within each IC, plus additional dedicated sector or specialist expertise and capacity as required. The impact of recruiting additional staff, (who are likely to come from schools), will need to be risk assessed in terms of staffing shortages in areas such as STEM. Resourcing may also draw on universities, businesses and other wider community links, again depending on local needs and priorities. ICs may also need to look beyond their own region to secure the required expertise and input, and engage with national agencies and national networks.

• A model for **administrative support** will be required for each IC. This could include communications, events and branding. Arrangements for aspects of support such as travel and subsistence could be met by the employers of the individuals within the IC or through a service level agreement between the partners within the IC. This will be for each IC to determine.
5. (f) Interim Conclusions: Geography

The Steering Group was asked to agree the process, building on existing work, to define the geographies of the ICs. The approach must ensure reach and deliverability in every part of Scotland and to every school, including consideration of early learning and childcare settings.

Initial work has been undertaken by Local Government to look at existing relationships and the range of activity. They propose that each local authority in Scotland belongs to a collaborative grouping based on local assessment of where the most meaningful work can be undertaken on a partnership basis. The geographies proposed by Local Government are noted below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Northern Alliance</th>
<th>Aberdeen City Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aberdeenshire Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Argyll and Bute Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comhairle nan Eilean Siar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Highland Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moray Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orkney Islands Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shetland Islands Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Tayside Collaborative</th>
<th>Angus Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dundee City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perth and Kinross Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The West Partnership</th>
<th>East Dunbartonshire Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Renfrewshire Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glasgow City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inverclyde Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Lanarkshire Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Renfrewshire Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Lanarkshire Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>West Dunbartonshire Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Steering Group noted the groupings which have been identified by Local Government. Based on this consideration, the Group reflected further on the remit of the Steering Group which was to agree the process for final decision-making. The Group had an initial discussion on the range of factors that will need to be taken into consideration when deciding on the final groupings. They are as follows:

- Scale of the grouping.
- Capacity to deliver additionality, including the availability of specialist support.
- Range of school performance.
- Existing regional footprint and regional arrangements.
- Other cross-authority collaborative working, such as the City Region Deals.

Furthermore, it is worth noting that the Scottish Government Early Learning and Childcare Expansion Programme Delivery Team is working with the Improvement Service, to ensure that Change Managers appointed to support authorities in planning for and implementing the expansion, are aligned to IC geographies, once agreed.
5. (g) Interim Conclusions: Accountability

The Steering Group was tasked with developing options to address local democratic accountability alongside national accountability, within the context of the policy direction set out in ‘Next Steps’. This will enable ICs to directly support teachers, practitioners and headteachers to deliver excellence and equity in education, by facilitating both the decentralising of some Education Scotland resources and the pooling and sharing of local authority resources to ensure an enhanced and responsive improvement capacity.

There is also a strong link between governance and funding. The Steering Group’s remit does not extend to funding and so this is highlighted as an area requiring further discussion.

In considering a possible model, the Steering Group looked at how an accountability framework can contribute to an effective governance system, with reference to the changes that are articulated in ‘Next Steps’ regarding the roles of headteachers, Local Government, Education Scotland and the Scottish Government, as well as the step change in pace and impact which ‘Next Steps’ requires. The Steering Group therefore considered accountability along the lines set out in ‘Next Steps’, as well as a proposal developed by local government colleagues.

The Steering Group agreed that the key responsibility for all ICs will be to:

- **Secure excellence through raising attainment**: ensuring that every child achieves the highest standards in literacy and numeracy, set out within Curriculum for Excellence levels, and the right range of skills, qualifications and achievements to allow them to succeed.

- **Achieve equity**: ensuring every child has the same opportunity to succeed, with a particular focus on closing the poverty-related attainment gap.

- **Share collective responsibility across the whole system - the embodiment of GIRFEC**: multiple agencies within and outwith the Scottish Government and local authorities strive towards these aims at every level of their delivery. Relationships forged at a strategic level help to make sure that delivery on the ground can put the child at the centre.

The Steering Group also agreed that any model must also meet the following five principles:

- **Strategic alignment and deliverability**: the role of ICs within the system to ensure alignment from high-level strategy to operational delivery and to bring added value to the delivery of the policy direction of ‘Next Steps’. 
• **Clarity** - clear agreed goals for multiple agencies and parties, (the system), around children and young people, striving towards commonly held aims (primarily delivering excellence and equity).

• **Simplicity and transparency** - minimal additional bureaucracy and structures.

• **Agility** - each stakeholder's (agency or individual) ability to articulate their place in the whole picture and be an active participant in its success.

• **Support collaboration** - clear process(es) whereby stakeholders can add value to the ‘system’ and be held to account for their contribution and performance in relation to ICs.

**Following discussions between DFM and Cllr. McCabe on 15th and 21st September, the following model of shared accountability is set out below. This model demonstrates a commitment to collaboration throughout the approach, at every stage and at every level:**

**The Regional Improvement Lead would be:**

• Selected jointly by the local authorities that make up the IC and the Chief Inspector of Education for Scotland. The process of selection will itself be collaborative, with the IC authorities and the Chief Inspector working closely from the outset of the appointment process. It is the expectation that in the first instance, the regional role will be fulfilled by reassigning an existing local government employee into this post, although this may be someone from outwith the region. The exact parameters will be for discussion between the local authorities and the Chief Inspector depending on their assessment of the local context. The final appointment should be made with the agreement of all of the Chief Executives within the IC and with the SG (who will be advised by the Chief Inspector).

• Formally line managed by the Chief Executive of the employing authority, but reporting to all the collaborating authorities (at official and political level), and to the Chief Inspector.

The IC as a whole would also have an accountability to the Chief Executives and Convenors of the collaborating authorities and to the Chief Inspector. Schools and headteachers must have an opportunity to comment on the extent to which the IC provides the support that they need.
This model is based on the following agreements:

- **Each IC must take forward a meaningful and substantive improvement agenda**

As stated earlier, a ‘Regional Improvement Plan’ must be developed that covers all eight curriculum areas, with a clear focus on the key improvement priorities in the region and on the work that is needed to close the attainment gap. The Plan must also be designed in a ‘bottom-up manner, based on the needs and improvement priorities of schools, and must be approved by the Chief Inspector.

A ‘Workforce Plan’ also needs to be developed alongside the Improvement Plan. The Workforce Plan will be assessed to ensure that it will deliver the full range of activity within the Improvement Plan, at pace. As many staff as possible need to be deployed full-time or close to full-time, in order to achieve the relentless focus on improvement as set out in the functions of the ICs. All contributors will have a role to play in the staffing (including Education Scotland) and the Chief Inspector must agree the Workforce Plan.

- **The Role of Education Scotland**

Each IC will be committed to supporting headteachers to deliver improvement at pace. This requires close collaborative working with Education Scotland who will make a substantial contribution to each IC, including involvement in selecting the Regional Improvement Lead, agreeing the Improvement Plan and the Workforce Plan, as outlined earlier.

- **Timing**

Each IC must be fully operational with a Regional Improvement Lead in place by the end of October. Given that the primary purpose of the ICs is to support schools and teachers in the delivery of educational improvement, the views and priorities of schools will be crucial in developing the plans. The Improvement Plans of ICs should flow from those identified by schools in the National Improvement Framework process. Each IC must also consult with schools and wider interests on the development of their Improvement Plan and their Workforce Plan. To ensure that sufficient time is built in to support this consultation, it is suggested that both plans must be agreed by the end of January 2018 in alignment with National Improvement Framework timescales.

- **Geography**

It will be important to ensure that each proposed grouping has sufficient educational strength.

- **Review**

This arrangement will be subject to review. This would involve an independent review when each IC has been in full operation for six months, followed by a more detailed review after 12-18 months. One suggestion is to invite the OECD to conduct a ‘rapid review’; this will be explored further, along with other options.
6. **Interim Conclusions: Measures of Success**

The Steering Group has undertaken initial thinking on what criteria and approaches could be adopted to reflect the various stages in the development and implementation of the ICs.

The Steering Group agreed that we are starting in a strong position with the National Improvement Framework (NIF), which provides a clear foundation for looking at performance data and the established drivers of improvement (see diagram below). This should form a core part of the regional plans for each IC and their assessment of progress going forward, along with other measures that may already be in operation.

It will also be important to look at what other mechanisms reveal about ‘measures of success’. ICs will need to draw on existing measures, where they exist, and link in with other initiatives and evaluations that relate to children and young people, and the attainment gap in particular.
The challenge will be how to assess the additional contribution of collaboration to improved outcomes. Several existing collaboratives are already in discussion with partners from academia regarding independent evaluation. It would be worth drawing on those discussions to share the thinking and to consider whether these approaches could be developed for other regions, and to consider how this might contribute to a ‘national picture’ of progress. HM Inspectors of Education may also inspect and review the impact of the ICs in the future. Similar models of inspection have been introduced in other countries where regional models are in place, e.g. Wales.

The approach to measurement should also reflect the move to an outcomes-based approach to performance which ties in with existing mechanisms for monitoring performance.

**At this stage, the Steering Group recommends that further development work is undertaken once the approach and formation of ICs have been agreed.**

This would enable the work to be more closely aligned to the principles and functions that have been proposed in this report, drawing on the NIF, as well as wider work looking at the measurement of the attainment gap. A subgroup could be formed to bring together experts and analysts from relevant organisations along with links to the existing Collaboratives. This subgroup could be tasked with developing a more detailed plan, perhaps considering the core expectations for all ICs and other options that individual ICs might consider. This would also inform further thinking on the key milestones for the development of ICs.

This will be considered alongside the proposal referred to in the accountability section, where all ICs would be subject to an initial independent evaluation, after six months of full operation.
Appendix A: Example of Design Principles/ Key Questions

As reported earlier, the Steering Group agreed a set of guiding principles to shape the work of ICs. The Group also agreed that ICs should consider some key questions as they develop their plans. Whilst acknowledging that design principles must be locally driven to be meaningful and effective, the Group felt that the following extract, drawn from work by an existing Collaborative, could be a helpful way of supporting prioritisation and assessing individual proposals.

- Which outcome/priority does this contribute to? What is the scale of change envisaged?
- Does it support our national priorities of excellence and equity, and the principles of GIRFEC?
- How well does it reflect the ‘guiding principles’?
- What does the related evidence tell us? Do we have the views of headteachers, teachers, other professionals, families, children, others?
- Is the proposal about a change in delivery or improving performance within the current approach? Have a range of options been considered?
- Has the use of digital technology been considered?
- What are the staffing implications?
- What is the potential social impact?
- Are there any negative social consequences or potential unintended consequences?
- Does this reflect the level of ambition envisaged – could it go further?
- Does this contribute to a shift to prevention?
- Have all partners agreed to this? Are there other organisations that this may impact upon? Do we have a ‘handling plan’?
- Does it require a realignment or closure of other services or funding streams? Who would need to make changes or realign funding?
- What does the cost-benefit analysis reveal?
- Are there any potential savings that arise from this? If so, when would they be realised?
- Are there other options that could have a greater impact?
Appendix B: Current Regional Groupings

A number of local authorities across Scotland have already begun to develop stronger approaches and mechanisms for collaborative working. The three most developed are the Northern Alliance (eight Councils), the Tayside Children’s Services Collaborative (three Councils and an NHS Board) and the West Partnership (eight Councils). All have established relationships with wider partners in their region, in addition to these core members.

These models of collaboration all vary in scope, approach and maturity, but all illustrate a strong and shared commitment to the improvement agenda and our shared goal of improving the education and life changes of our children and young people.

The Steering Group has drawn on the experience of these areas in developing this initial work and further engagement will be undertaken to ensure that the development of ICs continues to build on that activity and indeed the learning.

A number of key themes from these three groupings have emerged to date:

- All have a strong commitment to raise the ambition and pace and are thinking carefully about scale, capacity and how best to secure additionality through their collective efforts.
- There is variation in the contexts in which they are defining their collaborative working, (e.g. an initial focus on education now evolving to the wider system, children’s services as the starting point, and economic development as the overarching framework); all have devoted time to articulating their shared purpose and local connections.
- All have identified education as a key priority and demonstrate a strong commitment to delivering excellence and equity.
- There is a strong focus on the use of data and evidence as the main driver to inform priorities and action.
- Whilst all are at different stages of delivery, all report being at a crucial stage in their development, thinking carefully about the leadership and sustainability of their collective efforts, including the potential to increase the scale and pace of those efforts, and how they can measure impact and the contribution of collaboration to improved outcomes.